UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/704,838	11/01/2000	Ronald E. Sloan	10761.0183-05	4308
	7590 04/22/200 egan, Henderson,	9	EXAMINER	
Farabow, Garrett & Dunner, LLP			APPLE, KIRSTEN SACHWITZ	
901 New York Avenue Washington, DC 20001-4413			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
<i>C</i> ,			3694	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/22/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/704,838	SLOAN ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	KIRSTEN S. APPLE	3694
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with th	e correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stat Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the may earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply bod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS trute, cause the application to become ABANDO	ION. e timely filed from the mailing date of this communication. DNED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ TH Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice unde	nis action is non-final. vance except for formal matters,	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-25 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-25 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Exami	rawn from consideration.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	ccepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. ection is required if the drawing(s) is	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority docume 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority docume 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a limit	ents have been received. ents have been received in Applic riority documents have been rece eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	cation No eived in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summ Paper No(s)/Ma 5) Notice of Inform 6) Other:	

Application/Control Number: 09/704,838 Page 2

Art Unit: 3694

Detailed Action

This action is in response to the applicants RCE filed on 3/17/09.

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The Examiner has read and reviewed all of the information provided by the Applicant.

The examiner rejects as final claims 1-25 under 35 USC 103.

The Applicant attention is re-drawn to the following:

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being obvious over Wolfberg et al. (US Patent No. 5,214,579), in view of Sullivan et al. (US Patent No. 6,615, 240), and Farry et al. (US Patent No. 6,069,628).

Claims 1, 9, 10, and 18:

Art Unit: 3694

Wolfberg discloses a method, a system, and a computer program embodied on a computer readable medium for providing a graphical user interface to a financial modeling system allowing advice through projections (Col. 3, line 63-col. 4, line 10, col. 10, lines 40-55, col. 11, lines 27-29, col. 12, lines 38-49, col. 16, lines 4-41, col. 19, lines 24-35, col. 29, lines 18-38, col. 30, lines 3-44) and trends (Col. 23, lines 37-54) of a financial system (Col. 4, line 9-col. 5, line 11) based on a network architecture (Col. 7, line 53-col. 8, line 9), which determine the expectations (Col. 17, line 65-col 18, line 20) and impact (Col. 1, line 55-col. 2, line 12, col. 2, line 42) of investment decisions. Including life intentions being received as revenue and expense goals (Col. 3, line 63-col. 4, line 10, col. 10, lines 40-55, col. 11, lines 27-29, col. 12, lines 38-49, col. 16, lines 4-41, col. 19, lines 24-35, col. 29, lines 18-38, col. 30, lines 3-44) and modification to life intentions (Col. 1 line 55-69 "supplemented" + col. 9 line 26-37 + Figure 7) Wolfberg does not exclude that his invention could be used to give advice, as a help-system for the investors, or manage risk, but he does not explicitly disclose this possibility of use.

Sullivan discloses an Internet-based system (Col. 1, line 18-col. 2, line 4, col. 4, lines 5762, col. 5, lines 5-38, col. 13, lines 36-51, col. 14, lines 22-23) that support both live advice & providing communication interface (or live help, Abstract, col. 3, lines 36-62, col. 4, line 63-col. 5, line 4, col. 6, lines 20-63, col. 7, lines 12-28, col. 8, lines 9-22, col. 10, line 22-col. 12, line 12, col. 13, line 56-col. 14, line 63, col. 15, line 1-col. 16, line 67, col. 17, line 8-col. 18, line 10) and automated coaching & live coaching (Abstract, col. 6, tines 39-50, col. 12, lines 39-50).

Sullivan also teaches managing risk including:

providing a risk modeling component for allowing the user to control the level of risk (see Sullivan, column 8, lines 55-58),

by allowing the user to select one or more risk events from a list of risk events (see Sullivan, column 8, lines 55-58),

based on actuarial data from at least one external database, providing estimates of a probability and effect of an occurrence of the selected one or more risk events, and for each individual risk event from the selected one or more risk events, allowing the user to accept the estimate associated with the risk even, or to reject the estimates and substitute in a different estimate (see Sullivan, column 8, lines 55-58 + claim 42)

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the Wolfberg invention was made to use it for a live advice and automated coaching and risk components. One would have been motivated to use for live advice and automated coaching the Wolfberg invention in order to maximize its applications, and consequently, the revenues that result from its use.

The Wolfberg-Sullivan invention does not explicitly disclose providing a first window for displaying an image of said live advisor; and providing a second window for displaying context sensitive automated coaching. Ferry discloses a system which provides financial system services (Col. 4, lines 23-64) using a first window (Col. 2, lines 23-55, col. 14, lines 50-67, col. 16, lines 21-39) and a second window (Col. 2, lines 28-49, col. 14, lines 50-67, col. 16, lines 2139). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the Wolfberg-Sullivan invention was made to use this step. One would have

Application/Control Number: 09/704,838

Art Unit: 3694

been motivated to use this step in the Wolfberg-Sullivan invention in order to facilitate the search of advice for the user.

Page 5

The Wolfberg-Sullivan-Ferry invention does not explicitly disclose providing a plurality of icons for selecting at least one of a plurality of methods for communicating with a live advisor over the Internet. Such as

Automated coaching driven by a financial coaching system that comprises one or more coaching engines; wherein the automated coaching incorporates the selected risk events. The examiner takes Official notice that using life coaching as a means of communication in the computer art. Clearly Wolfberg contains communication on the elements for life coaching.

Claims 2, 11, and 19: Wolfberg, Sullivan, and Ferry disclose the method, the system, and the computer program embodied on a computer readable medium of claims 1, 9, and 18. Wolfberg discloses a network architecture (see Claim 1 above) which could be also the Internet network. Sullivan explicitly discloses Internet (see Claim 1 above), and it is well known that the Internet network is a plurality of methods for communication that includes email, electronic chat, live streaming audio, voice over the network, telephone, still picture, and streaming live video. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the Wolfberg invention to use this step. One would have been motivated to use this step in the in order to increase the possibilities of communication for the user.

Claims 3, 12 and 20: Wolfberg, Sullivan, and Ferry disclose the method, the system, and the computer program embodied on a computer readable medium of claims 1, 9, and 18.

Application/Control Number: 09/704,838

Art Unit: 3694

Wolfberg also discloses an user interface that includes providing one interactive window for displaying a financial model generated by the financial modeling system (Fig 9C).

Page 6

Claims 4, 13, and 21: Wolfberg, Sullivan, and Ferry disclose the method, the system, and the computer program embodied on a computer readable medium of claims 3, 12, and 20. Wolfberg, Sullivan, and Ferry do not explicitly disclose that the user interface includes a plurality of function icons for performing various operations on said generated financial model. The examiner takes Official notice that this feature is old and well-known in the computer art because it is very easy for a user to pick an icon instead of giving a command to a financial program by different way.

Claims 5, 14, and 22: Wolfberg, Sullivan, and Ferry disclose the method, the system, and the computer program embodied on a computer readable medium of claims 4, 13, and 21. Wolfberg, Sullivan, and Ferry do not explicitly disclose that the function icons include providing at least one of transact, monitor, model, explore, track. The examiner takes Official notice that this feature is old and well-known in the computer art because it is very easy for a user to pick an icon instead of giving a command to a financial program by different way.

Claims 6, 15, and 23: Wolfberg, Sullivan, and Ferry disclose the method, the system, and the computer program embodied on a computer readable medium of claims 3, 12, and 20. Wolfberg also discloses that the user interface includes at least one dynamic window for displaying characteristics related to the generated financial model (Fig. 9C, 10A, 10B, and 10 C). Claims 7, 16, and 24: Wolfberg, Sullivan, and Ferry disclose the method, the system, and the computer program embodied on a computer readable medium of claims 1, 9, and 18. Sullivan discloses a Financial system service Internet-based the wherein said user interface that includes providing at least one interactive window for displaying help messages related to

Application/Control Number: 09/704,838 Page 7

Art Unit: 3694

using the financial modeling system, as is used in many automated coaching systems (see discussion on Claim 1 above).

Claims 8, 17, and 25: Wolfberg, Sullivan, and Ferry disclose the method, the system, and the computer program embodied on a computer readable medium of claims 1, 9, and 18. Wolfberg, Sullivan, and Ferry does not explicitly disclose wherein said user interface further includes providing at least one profile icon for performing various operations on a user profile based on user information. The examiner takes Official notice that this feature is old and well known in the computer art because it is very easy for a user to pick an icon instead of giving a command to a financial program by different way.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed September 14, 2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In particular, and respect to Claim 1 the Applicant argued: prior art does not teach new limitation of Claim 1 including "communication component receives from user responses to all coaching, both automated and live, and future that this captured responses 'comprises modification to the life intentions initially received from the user"

The Examiner refutes the argument made by the Applicant and draws the attention to Wolfberg column 1, line 55-69. The applicant argued that Wolfberg had "initial values" but the very next line showed that these would be update "by supplemented by monthly investments". Column 9, line 27-38 show how many other updates or changes are made in the invention.

Examiner Comments

In addition the examiner would like to note that while she choose Walker as a solid prior art reference the examiner believe this application reads on lots of prior art and more important

to that what happens in practice in practically every financial service company that offers any kind of "private client services". For example, American Express or Merrill Lynch offers a similar service of taking data ("life goals") of their customers and providing reports to help them understand their financial position. If thing change the client call or communicates (such as the "life coach") the change. It is important to note that simply automating an existing method that have been happening in the field for years does not make it novel. In other words, taking the patent filling or ticketing process and simply transferring the documents electronic does not make this a novel invention. In summary there is lots of prior art and more importantly existing business processes that this application reads on.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 3/17/09 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In particular, and respect to Claim 1 the Applicant argued 1st on page 13-14 of the applicants response the applicant simply reiterated the limitations of claim 1 without providing any specific arguments.

Since no specific arguments were address on these pages the examiner is unaware what addition clarification is necessary – each limitation has already been addressed above. The applicant underlined "live coaching" and "modifications of life intensions" for emphasis both of these limitations will be addressed below.

Applicants argued 2nd, prior art did not teach "modifications of life intensions" (page 14-16).

The Examiner refutes the argument made by the Applicant and draws the attention to Wolfberg, Figure 7, item 216 "update client file" this includes the payment as the applicant argued but also the investment files – which contain the goal amount or "life goal" as the applicant describes it. In addition the examiner would like to note that while she choose

Art Unit: 3694

Wolfberg as a solid prior art reference the examiner believe this limitation reads on lots of prior art. One example would be Albright (patent 6,012,043) see claim 19 which states "modify financial (life) goal". The examiner has not used this above because she believes Wolfberg is a solid reference but to have compact prosecution she felt it necessary to provide additional support. Not only do Wolfberg and Albright contain this limitation but the examiner would like to point out that just about every financial institution provides the services described in this limitation for their "private client" services. In addition any 101 finance book (such as a self help book like Susie Orman) or a college text book would read on this prior art limitation. Individuals would set up "life goal" like retire and would modify the "life goals" when circumstances changed like had a baby and need to have a "life goal" of a college fund.

Applicants argued 3rd, Wolfberg teaches away from any combination adding any system that offers "live coaching" including the system of disclosed in Sullivan.

The Examiner refutes the argument made by the Applicant and draws the attention to KSR. Applicants' argues that there is not teaching suggestion or motivation to combine references. KSR forecloses Applicant's argument that a specific teaching is required for a finding of obviousness. KSR, 127 S.Ct. at 1741, 82 USPQ2d at 1396. Claims 31-41 recite combinations which only unite old elements with no change in their respective functions and which yield predictable results. Thus, the claimed subject matter likely would have been obvious under KSR. The examiner would also like to explain that Wolfberg is appropriate to combined with other references.

Applicants argued 4th, that the limitation of claims 9 & 18 (page 16-17) have not been address and then listed the limitation without any specific arguments.

Application/Control Number: 09/704,838 Page 10

Art Unit: 3694

Once again since no specific arguments were address the examiner is unaware what addition clarification is necessary – each of the limitation has been addressed above.

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kirsten S. Apple whose telephone number is 571.272.5588. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 9:00-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Trammell can be reached on 571-272-6712. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-272-6126.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ksa

/Kirsten S Apple/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3694